

Annual Compliance Report 2026
Northern Midlands Irrigation Scheme
EPBC Number: 2022/09295



Proponent details

Proponent: Tasmanian Irrigation Pty Ltd
ABN: 95 722 799 075
CAN 133 148 384

Declaration of accuracy

In making this declaration, I am aware that section 491 of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (Cth) (EPBC Act) makes it an offence in certain circumstances to knowingly provide false or misleading information or documents to specified persons who are known to be performing a duty or carrying out a function under the EPBC Act or the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000 (Cth). The offence is punishable on conviction by imprisonment or a fine, or both. I am authorised to bind the approval holder to this declaration and that I have no knowledge of that authorisation being revoked at the time of making this declaration.

Signed: 

Full name: Gordon Williams
Organisation: Tasmanian Irrigation Pty Ltd
Date: 6 August 2026

Version	Date	Author	Reviewer(s)	Comments
Version 1	6/8/2026	JW (TI)	CL/GW/JG (TI)	Final

Contents

Glossary	1
Introduction.....	1
Project Background	1
Purpose of Report.....	1
Description of Activities During the ACR Reporting Period	1
Statement of Compliance	3
Non-compliances	18
New Environmental Risks	20
Completion Disturbance Mapping	20
Additional Protected Matter Observations.....	20
Eagle Constraints During Final Construction and Commissioning	20
Completion Data Preparation	21
Appendix 1 Schedule of Approved Plans	22
Appendix 2 – Protected Matters Clearing.....	24

List tables

Table 1 – Compliance table for the Northern Midlands Irrigation Scheme for the reporting period 10 July 2025 to 9 July 2026.	4
Table 2. Non-compliances reported during the ACR period.	18
Table 3 Non-compliances not reported during previous ACR period	18

List of figures

Figure 1 Overview of NMIS Project Area and Irrigation District	2
--	---

Glossary

Abbreviation	Definition
ACR	Annual Compliance Report
BT	Balance tank
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
CEP	Construction Environmental Plan
CET	Construction Environmental Table
DCCEEW	Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
Eagle management constraint period	Means the period commencing on 1 July and ending on 31 January in the same financial year, unless a shortened or lengthened period of this name is determined (identified annually in November) and publicly advised by the Forest Practices Authority in writing
EPBC Act	<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i>
Farm WAP	Farm Water Access Plan
GGF	Green and gold frog
ha	hectare
HSE	health, safety & environment
km	kilometre
m	metre
Minister	The Australian Government Minister administering the EPBC Act, including any delegate thereof
MNES	Matters of National Environmental Significance
NBES	North Barker Environmental Services
NMIS	Northern Midlands Irrigation Scheme
OMP	Offset Management Plan
PS	Pump Station
Reporting period	10 July 2025 to 9 July 2026
TI	Tasmanian Irrigation Pty Ltd

Introduction

Project Background

The Northern Midlands Irrigation Scheme (the Project) involves the construction of a new irrigation scheme to supply 25,500 megalitres of water per annum from the Poatina Tailrace to 40 landowners located across the suburbs of Cressy, Campbell Town, Epping Forest, and Ross, in the Northern Midlands region of Tasmania.

The Project will allow for the irrigation of approximately 128,400 hectares and consists of the following key components:

- Approximately 155 km of large diameter pipeline
- An offtake dam, to be constructed adjacent to the Poatina Tailrace
- Two balance tanks, the Poatina BT, with an adjacent overflow dam, and the Valleyfield BT.
- Access roads to both the Poatina BT and Valleyfield BT.
- Three pump stations:
 - Valleyfield PS
 - Poatina PS
 - Barton PS

An overview of the NMIS is shown in Figure 1. Further information on the Project, including the locations of project elements, is provided in Section 3 of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (refer to Appendix 1 for schedule of documents and website links).

Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to meet conditions 39 to 45 of EPBC permit 2022/09295 (the Permit), which requires preparation of an Annual Compliance Report (ACR) for each 12-month period following project approval by the Minister.

The Minister approved the NMIS project on 9 July 2024.

This report is the Project's second ACR and details compliance with the Permit from 10 July 2025 to 9 July 2026 (the reporting period).

The report has been developed in accordance with the EPBC Act *Annual Compliance Report guidelines*.

Description of Activities During the ACR Reporting Period

Construction of the NMIS Project commenced on 28 August 2024. During the reporting period, over 154.5 km of the 155 km pipeline was installed. Construction of the Poatina, Valleyfield and Epping Forest pump stations and the Poatina and Valleyfield balance tanks has been completed.

Progression of other major construction activities includes:

- All river crossings have been completed;
- Approximately 90% of air valves, scour valves and property outlets have been installed; and
- Progressive rehabilitation of construction zones.




Figure 1 Overview of NMIS Project Area and Irrigation District

Statement of Compliance

Table 1 outlines how each approval condition for the NMIS Project has been addressed during the reporting period (10 July 2025 to 9 July 2026).

The designations of compliance in relation to each condition and summary of commitment have been undertaken in accordance with the EPBC Act *Annual Compliance Report guidelines*, and are as follows:

- Compliant - 'Compliance' is achieved when all the requirements of a condition have been met, including the implementation of management plans or other measures required by those conditions.
- Non-compliant - A designation of 'non-compliant' must be given where the requirements of a condition or elements of a condition, including the implementation of management plans and other measures, have not been met.
- Not applicable - A designation of 'not applicable' must be given where the requirements of a condition or elements of a condition fall outside of the scope of the current reporting period. For example, a condition that applies to an activity that has not yet commenced.

A schedule of the plans approved in accordance with EPBC 2022/09295 is provided in Appendix 1.

Environmental compliance remained strong during the reporting period. One administrative non-compliance relating to website publication requirements was identified under Condition 28. No other non-compliances or potential non-compliances occurred between 10 July 2025 and 9 July 2026. Corrective actions implemented in response to events reported during the previous ACR period remained in place and no repeat events occurred. No protected matters were harmed, other than impacts expressly authorised under the approval, and cumulative impacts remain within the approved limits specified in Condition 2.

Table 1 – Compliance table for the Northern Midlands Irrigation Scheme for the reporting period 10 July 2025 to 9 July 2026.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
1	The approval holder must not: a) clear outside of the project area. b) construct outside of the project area.	Compliant	A variation to the approval was issued on 24 July 2025, which amended the project area framework and introduced Conditions 1A and 1B. The revised approval allows construction corridor adjustments within the broader survey area, provided the requirements of the approval are met. During the reporting period, TI implemented a formal hold point release process. Corridor amendments required supporting information to demonstrate that the proposed works were consistent with the approval requirements, would not result in unauthorised clearing of protected matters or habitat, and would not cause harm to protected matters. No incidents occurred during the reporting period that resulted in clearing or construction outside the project area in breach of Condition 1.
1A	1A) The approval holder must not clear or construct outside of the construction corridor unless doing so: a) is consistent with the requirements of conditions 2 and 12, b) does not result in clearing in any area where a protected matter or habitat of a protected matter is located, unless clearing is undertaken in accordance with the Green and Gold Frog Habitat Management and Impact Mitigation Protocol and the Pre-clearance Check and Unanticipated Den Discovery Protocol, and c) it does not cause harm to a protected matter	Compliant	Condition 1A was implemented during the reporting period through TI's corridor amendment and hold point release process. Where construction outside the construction corridor was proposed, TI required review of relevant environmental constraints, protected matter records and applicable protocol requirements before works were authorised. No non-compliances with Condition 1A occurred during the reporting period.
1B	1B) The approval holder must submit, as part of the completion data required by condition 57, a shapefile showing the final location of all construction and clearing undertaken as part of the Action, and locations of access tracks and service locating (where the latter occurs outside the project area).	Not applicable during this reporting period	Condition 1B requires submission of completion data under Condition 57 and is therefore not yet triggered, as the Action has not been completed. TI has progressively captured disturbance data to support future completion reporting. Drone orthomosaics have been used to record the construction disturbance footprint, supplemented by GPS data where permit-specific clearing limits, such as optimal Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll habitat, require separate tracking. In some rehabilitated or cropped areas, disturbance boundaries were less clearly visible in orthomosaic imagery due to reseeding, regrowth and landowner crop establishment. In these limited areas TI adopted a conservative mapping approach by using the full width of the approved construction corridor where the disturbance footprint could not be accurately delineated.
2	The approval holder must not clear more than: a) 17.47 hectares (ha) of Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll habitat	Compliant	Based on cumulative clearing records maintained for the Project, approximately 0.41 ha of optimal Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll habitat was cleared

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
	b) 12 potential hollow-bearing trees c) 4 square metres (m ²) of Matted Flax-lily.		during the reporting period. Cumulative clearing remains substantially below the approved clearing limit of 17.47 ha specified under Condition 2. This includes approximately 0.0065 ha of habitat associated with a historical construction corridor discrepancy from April 2025 that was identified after publication of the 2025 ACR and has been accounted for in the Project's clearing total. No Matted Flax-lily was cleared during the reporting period, as the approved clearing allowance for this species had been utilised during the previous reporting period. No potential hollow-bearing trees were cleared during the reporting period. Clearing maps for the reporting period are provided in Appendix 2. Clearing shapefiles are available on the TI website under Project Documentation for the NMIS project. A total of 11.13 hectares (ha) of optimal Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll habitat has been cleared since the project commenced. Four square metres (4 m²) of Matted Flax-lily has been cleared since the project commenced. TI approved the clearing and was present for the removal.
3	If the approval holder detects the presence, where likely to be affected by the Action, of any protected matter or the habitat of any protected matter not previously reported to the department as part of the referral of this Action or in accordance with this condition, the approval holder must: a) notify the department in writing of the presence and likely extent of any protected matter or the habitat of any protected matter not previously reported to the department within 10 business days of detecting the presence of any protected matter or the habitat of any protected matter not previously reported to the department, and b) not clear any area where the protected matter or the habitat of the protected matter is located unless: i) condition 2 provides for the clearing of that protected matter or habitat of that protected matter, and ii) clearing does not exceed the limit specified in condition 2 for that protected matter or habitat of that protected matter, and iii) clearing is in accordance with the Green and Gold Frog Habitat Management and Impact Mitigation Protocol and the Pre-clearance Check and Unanticipated Den Discovery Protocol.	Compliant	Condition 3 was implemented during the reporting period. DCCEEW was notified of protected matters identified during the reporting period that had not previously been reported for the Action and were likely to be affected by the Action. Notifications included: 1. Approximately 30 <i>Dianella amoena</i> individuals observed on the CA line on 8 September 2025; and 2. Approximately 10 m² of <i>Dianella amoena</i> observed on the NB line in four locations between 16 March 2026 and 23 March 2026. All new locations and exclusion zone requirements were added to the Project's GIS planning map and incorporated into construction planning controls. No clearing of these newly identified protected matter locations occurred outside the requirements of the approval.
4	To mitigate harm to the Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll within the project area, the approval holder must commence implementing the Pre-clearance Check and Unanticipated Den Discovery Protocol no later than the commencement of the Action and	Compliant	The Pre-clearance Check and Unanticipated Den Discovery Protocol continued to be implemented during the reporting period. Corrective actions implemented following protocol non-compliances identified during the previous reporting period remained in

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
	continue to implement the Pre-clearance Check and Unanticipated Den Discovery Protocol for any construction works until completion of the Action.		place. These included use of den survey checklists, centralised GIS den tracking, pre-clearance verification processes, supervisor review requirements and TI hold point approval before relevant works commenced. The Protocol was updated to clarify survey, den decommissioning and exclusion zone requirements, and to include flow charts to support consistent implementation. The revised Protocol was also submitted to NRE Tasmania on 23 March 2026 and approval correspondence was received on 14 April 2026 for permit amendment number 4 (DA 23145). During the reporting period, denning habitat surveys were undertaken by the contractor or Project Environmental Consultant in accordance with the Protocol. Suboptimal and optimal habitat surveys were undertaken by the Project Environmental Ecologist. Areas considered as unlikely habitat were inspected by the Contractor. No protocol non-compliances occurred during the reporting period. During the reporting period, eight dens were decommissioned. Details were submitted to NRE Tasmania in accordance with permit to take requirements. TI approved den decommissioning in consultation with the Project Environmental Consultant.
5	To mitigate harm to the Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll within the project area, the approval holder must commence implementing the Roadkill Mitigation Protocol/Strategy no later than the commencement of the Action and continue to implement the Roadkill Mitigation Protocol/Strategy for any construction works until completion of the Action.	Compliant	The Roadkill Mitigation Strategy continued to be implemented during the reporting period. NBES reviewed implementation of the strategy in April 2026 as part of a six-monthly audit. Six Project-related mortalities were reported during the reporting period, representing 1.4 % of recorded roadkill within the ACR period. No threatened species were recorded as having been killed by project vehicles. The audit concluded that mitigation measures remained sufficient to reduce the risk of significant impacts on threatened species from increased construction traffic.
6	To mitigate harm to the Growling Grass Frog, within the project area, the approval holder must commence implementing the Green and Gold Frog Habitat Management and Impact Mitigation Protocol no later than the commencement of the Action and continue to implement the Green and Gold Frog Habitat Management and Impact Mitigation Protocol for any construction works until the completion of the Action.	Compliant	The Green and Golden Frog (<i>Litoria raniformis</i>) Habitat Management and Impact Mitigation Protocol continued to be implemented during the reporting period. Green and gold frog protocol surveys (including resurveys) were undertaken in the construction corridor during the reporting period, and no green and gold frogs were found.
7	To mitigate harm to the Masked Owl within the project area, the approval holder must commence implementing the Habitat Tree (Hollow Bearing) Management Protocol no later than the commencement of the Action and continue to implement the Habitat Tree (Hollow Bearing) Management Protocol until the completion of the Action.	Compliant	The Habitat Tree (Hollow Bearing) Management Protocol continued to be implemented during the reporting period. No habitat trees were cleared.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
8	To mitigate harm to the Tasmanian Wedge-tailed Eagle, the approval holder must: a) not conduct construction works within 1000 m of an active eagle nest during the eagle management constraint period unless the works are not visible from any active eagle nest, b) not conduct construction works within 500 m of an active eagle nest during the eagle management constraint period, except in the circumstances specified in condition 10), c) not clear or construct within 200 m of any tree that contains a Tasmanian Wedge-tailed Eagle nest, d) if any construction is to occur during the eagle management constraint period, undertake an aerial nest search to detect all active eagle nests within 1250 m of any area within the project area where construction is planned during the eagle management constraint period. e) not conduct planned maintenance within 500 m of any active eagle nest during the eagle management constraint period.	Compliant	Works have been undertaken in accordance with condition 8 during the reporting period. Location of known eagle nests and exclusion zones are marked on the NMIS field planning maps and in Appendix B of the CEMP. The pre-clearance checklist also includes questions on whether the activity can be undertaken in accordance with this condition. TI will not approve commencement of works if this condition cannot be met. An eagle zone management sheet was created prior to the 2026 eagle breeding period constraints to prioritise final construction works, as the project nears completion. Remaining testing, commissioning and verification activities were planned and managed to ensure compliance with applicable eagle management requirements.
9	The approval holder must ensure: a) all aerial nest searches conducted in relation to this Action are only undertaken: i) in accordance with the Fauna Technical Note 1: Eagle Nest Searching, Activity checking and Nest Management, ii) between 1 March to 30 June of any given year, iii) at least once within any given two-year period, within the project area, an aerial nest searches is undertaken in each area which fall within 1250 m of any area where construction is planned during the eagle management constraint period.	Compliant	Eagle nest surveys were undertaken prior to commencing the Action as per the project's Preliminary Documentation and Natural Values Assessment. This included: 1. Aerial nest survey across the project area (6 May 2021). 2. Assessment of 4 nests within 1km of the design corridor (2-3 March 2022) 3. Aerial nest survey across the project area (28 June 2023). 4. Ground survey for eagle nests not located during aerial searches (8 August 2023). Eagle nest aerial searches were undertaken in June 2025 in accordance with Condition 9 of the permit. No new Tasmanian wedge-tailed eagle (<i>Aquila audax fleayi</i>) nests were found; however, a white-bellied sea eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucogaster</i>) nest was suspected. This nest is being managed in accordance with conditions 8 to 11 in the permit, given Tasmanian wedge-tailed eagles could potentially use the nest in future. All new locations and exclusion zone requirements were added to the Project's GIS planning map and CEPs. Eagle nest activity searches are scheduled for October – December 2026. Activity nest searches will be reported during the next ACR reporting period.
10	In the event that unplanned repair work or maintenance must be undertaken during the eagle management constraint period, unless the repair work is urgently required to avert serious threat to life, property or the environment, the approval holder must:	Not applicable	No unplanned repair work or maintenance occurred during the reporting period.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
	a) unless an aerial nest search was undertaken in accordance with the Fauna Technical Note 1: Eagle Nest Searching, Activity checking and Nest Management to detect all active eagle nests within 1250 m of the location, assume that all known nests are active eagle nests, b) ensure that, before entering the project area, all workers are aware of the location of all active eagle nests, c) ensure that no person or vehicle enters any area within 200 m of an active eagle nest, d) ensure that no person looks directly towards an active eagle nest while they are within 1,000 m of an active eagle nest, e) ensure that, unless not visible from any active eagle nest, no heavy vehicles and no more than 2 light vehicles enter any area within 1,000 m of an active eagle nest and that, in any seven-day period, no vehicle enters within 1,000 m of an active eagle nest more than twice, f) ensure that no heavy vehicles, and no more than 2 light vehicles, enter any area within 500 m of an active eagle nest and that, in any seven-day period, or enters within 500m of an active eagle nest more than twice, g) ensure that, in any seven-day period, unless not visible from any active eagle nest, no vehicle remains within 1,000 m of an active eagle nest any longer than 30 minutes and that regardless of visibility, no vehicle remains within 500 m of an active eagle nest any longer than 30 minutes, unless a suitably qualified eagle specialist has provided prior written agreement to the use of vehicles for longer than 30 minutes, specifying the required safeguards and mitigation measures and justification that harm will not result from the presence of the vehicles for longer than 30 minutes, h) if safety requirements allow, instruct workers to not wear hi-visibility clothing while in the allowed proximity to an active eagle nest, i) ensure that no vehicle is parked within sight of an active eagle nest. ensure workers always remain within 5 m of one another (where practical) and no work breaks are conducted while within 500 m of an active eagle nest.		
11	In the event that unplanned repair work or maintenance must be undertaken during the eagle management constraint period, and that repair work is urgently required to avert serious threat to life, property or the environment, the approval must adhere to the requirements of condition 10) as closely as possible while giving priority to avert the serious threat to life, property or the environment.	Not applicable	No unplanned repair work or maintenance occurred during the reporting period.
12	To avoid impacts to the Swan Galaxias, the approval holder must not clear in, dig in, or cause the pipeline to cross any waterway containing running or open water with potential Swan Galaxias habitat unless the approval holder has had an aquatic fauna expert undertake an aquatic survey of the waterway and the aquatic fauna expert has determined that Swan Galaxias is absent from the waterway. If the presence of Swan Galaxias is detected in any waterway the approval holder must submit a proposed waterway crossing method to the	Compliant	The Dairy Creek Crossing Methodology and Construction Water Quality Management Plan was developed to help mitigate the potential for harm to this species, in accordance with condition 12 of EPBC 2022/09295. As per the Dairy Creek Crossing Methodology, Dairy Creek is scheduled to be inspected during low-flow conditions during the next ACR reporting period.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
	department for approval. The approval holder must not clear in, dig in, or cause the pipeline to cross that waterway unless the Minister has approved the method of crossing that waterway. The approval holder must implement the approved waterway crossing method.		
13	Prior to commencement of the Action the approval holder must submit a Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP) to the department for the Minister's approval.	Compliant	The Construction Environmental Management Plan was approved prior to commencement of the Action and remains in effect. Revised versions of the CEMP were submitted during the reporting period in accordance with the streamlined RAMP mechanism under Condition 26.
14	The environmental objective of the CEMP must be to prevent and mitigate harm to protected matters.	Compliant	The environmental objective of all approved versions of the CEMP is to prevent and mitigate harm to protected matters. No protected matters have been harmed during the reporting period, other than those allowed under condition 2 of the Permit.
15	The approval holder must not commence the Action unless the Minister has approved the CEMP in writing. The approval holder must implement the approved CEMP until the completion of the Action.	Compliant	The CEMP (version 2) was approved by DCCEEW 23 August 2024. The Action commenced 26 August 2024. Since the last ACR period, versions 3, 5 and 6 have been submitted via the streamlined RAMP mechanism (Condition 26). The following changes were made in each version; Version 3: Amended to include Appendix B1 Poatina Buffer Dam Construction Environmental Plan and Construction Environmental Table. Version 5: CEMP appendices A, B, L, R, P and Q were updated, resulting in variations to Conditions 1A, 1B, 3, 12 and 16 of permit 2022/09295. Version 6: Updated Table 2.1 of the CEMP and Appendices B, E, and H.
16	The CEMP must be consistent with the Environmental Management Plan Guidelines. All commitments, including environmental outcomes, management measures, corrective actions, trigger values and performance indicators in the CEMP must be SMART and based on referenced or included evidence of effectiveness. The CEMP must include measures to protect, repair and mitigate harm to protected matters including, but not limited to, the mitigation measures proposed within the Preliminary Documentation and the following: a) ensuring that no person or vehicle enters within 5 metres (m) of Grassland Greenhood or Propellor Plant individuals or within 10 m of Matted Flax-lily plants (except in accordance with condition 2) c), b) measures to control weeds and ensure pathogen and weed propagule hygiene within the project area, c) measures to prevent erosion, sedimentation, and surface run-off within or from the project area,	Compliant	The CEMP is consistent with the Environmental Management Plan Guidelines and includes measures to mitigate harm to protected matters, both those referred to in the Preliminary Documentation and those discovered during the project. A revised CEMP (version 6) was submitted to DCCEEW during the reporting period to address new environmental risks identified during construction and update the CEMP and sub plans to improve mitigation measures and/or provide clearer recommendations. Versions 2, 3, 5 and 6 of the Project's CEMP are available on the TI website (see Appendix 1 for website links).

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
	d) measures to respond appropriately to unanticipated discoveries of aboriginal artefacts within the project area (i.e. unanticipated discovery protocol), e) measures, including directional drilling, to mitigate harm to protected matters at localities in which watercourses intersect the project area, f) measures to manage risk of fauna mortality resulting from trenching works, g) details of the relevant protected matters and a reference to the EPBC Act approval conditions to which the plan refers, h) a table of commitments made in the plan to achieve the environmental objectives, and a reference to exactly where these commitments are detailed in the plan, i) commitments capable of ensuring that the environmental objectives are achieved, j) reporting and review mechanisms to demonstrate compliance with the commitments made in the plan, k) an assessment of risks relating to achieving the environmental objectives and risk management strategies and/or mitigation measures that will be applied to address identified risks, l) impact avoidance, mitigation and/or repair measures, and the timing of those measures, m) a monitoring program, which must include: i) measurable performance indicators, ii) trigger values for corrective actions, iii) the timing and frequency of monitoring, ensuring monitoring is capable of detecting trigger values and changes in the performance indicators, and iv) proposed corrective actions if trigger values are reached, and n) links to other relevant plans or conditions of approval (including state or territory approval conditions).		
17	The approval holder must, at least until the completion of the Action, ensure that the Farm Water Access Plan Biodiversity Module is implemented on any property which is proposed to receive water from the Action and the outcomes of implementing the Farm Water Access Plan Biodiversity Module considered prior to any decision being made to allocate and supply irrigation water from the Action to that property.	Not applicable	Not applicable in this reporting period. Landholders are scheduled to receive water from the NMIS in October 2026. Information on the Farm Water Access Plan is available on the NMIS page of the TI website. https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/map-data/factsheets/Farm-WAP-Fact-Sheet-FAQs.pdf
18	To compensate for the residual significant impacts of the Action on Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll, the approval holder must implement the Offset Strategy. The approval holder must commence implementing the Offset Strategy from the date this approval is granted. The approval holder must continue to implement the Offset Strategy at least until the expiry date of this approval.	Compliant	TI is currently implementing the Offset Strategy. The Offset Strategy has been published on the TI website: https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/NMIS-Offset-Strategy-Ref-2022-09295-2-May-2024.pdf

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
19	To compensate for the residual significant impacts of the Action on Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll, prior to commencement of the Action and no later than 6 months following the date of this approval, the approval holder must submit to the department for approval by the Minister an Offset Management Plan (OMP) for the offset site. The approval holder must not commence operation unless the OMP has been approved in writing by the Minister. The approval holder must commence implementing the approved OMP from the date it is approved in writing by the Minister. The approval holder must continue to implement the approved OMP at least until the expiry date of this approval.	Compliant	The following presents the development of the Offset Management Plan: V1 31/05/2024 Initial Submission V1.1 22/07/2024 Minor Changes – TI Review V1.2 5/08/2024 Minor Changes – TI Review V1.3 9/08/2024 Map changes – TI Review V1.4 16/08/2024 Minor Changes – TI Review V1.5 27/08/2024 Changes – DCCEEW review 1 V1.6 20/09/2024 Changes – DCCEEW review 2 V1.7 DCCEEW review 3 V1.8 Minor changes – DCCEEW review 4 - Approved 9 January 2026
20	The OMP must meet the requirements of the Environmental Offsets Policy and the Environmental Management Plan Guidelines to the satisfaction of the Minister. All commitments, including environmental outcomes, management measures, corrective actions, trigger values and performance indicators in the OMP must be SMART and based on referenced or included evidence of effectiveness.	Compliant	The OMP was developed in accordance with the Environmental Management Plan Guidelines. Version 1.8 of the plan is currently being implemented.
21	The OMP must be prepared by a suitably qualified ecologist and must include: a) detailed information on the residual impacts to protected matters that will be compensated for by the offset (Note: the offset comprises the securement of the offset site and the habitat quality improvements to be achieved at the offset site). This must include the area(s) of habitat for protected matters and its habitat quality at all locations impacted by the Action which the offset is to address. b) the relevant protected matters and a reference to the EPBC Act approval conditions to which the OMP refers. c) detailed information and a shapefile specifying the location, area and boundaries of the proposed offset site, d) detailed baseline information on the areas of habitat, their condition, and the presence (or not) of the protected matters on the offset site, e) commitments to achievable ecological benefits (completion criteria) at the offset site and the timeframes in which they will be achieved, f) a table summarising all commitments to achieve the ecological benefits for protected matters at the offset site and a reference to where each commitment is detailed in the OMP, g) reporting and review mechanisms to inform the department annually regarding compliance with the management and environmental outcome commitments, and attainment and maintenance of the ecological benefits specified in the OMP,	Compliant	The OMP was developed in accordance with the Environmental Management Plan Guidelines. Version 1.8 of the OMP is currently being implemented.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
	h) an assessment of risks to achieving the ecological benefit(s) and what risk management measures and/or strategies will be applied to address these, i) a monitoring program, which must specify: i) measurable performance indicators and the timeframes for their achievement to gauge attainment of the ecological benefit(s) for the protected matters. ii) trigger values for corrective actions, and iii) the proposed timing (including season/time of day/frequency) methods and effort, and an explanation of how these will be effective for this purpose, of monitoring to detect trigger values, changes in the performance indicators and to gather evidence that effectively demonstrates actual progress towards, attainment of and maintenance of the ecological benefits for the protected matters, j) corrective actions to be implemented to ensure ecological benefits for the protected matters are achieved or maintained if trigger values are reached or performance indicators not achieved in the specified timeframes, k) links to relevant referenced plans or conditions of approval (including state/territory approval conditions), and how the offset site will be secured, and the ecological benefits maintained, at least until the expiry of the approval.		
22	To compensate for the residual significant impacts of the Action on Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll, the approval holder must secure the offset site. The approval holder must not commence operation unless the offset site has been secured. The approval holder must submit to the department evidence of the securement of the offset site within 5 business days of the securement of the offset site.	Not applicable	TI is currently in the process of securing a conservation covenant to provide enduring conservation protection on the title of land under Section 34 of the Tasmanian Nature Conservation Act 2002 (Tas).
23	The approval holder must achieve the completion criteria specified in the approved OMP at the offset site by the time specified for each completion criteria specified in the approved OMP. Once achieved, the approval holder must maintain or exceed the offset outcomes at least until the expiry date of this approval.	Compliant	Monitoring undertaken in May 2026 indicates the offset site is tracking toward achievement of the approved completion criteria and that performance indicators due during the reporting period were achieved in accordance with the OMP.
24	The approval holder must, within 40 business days of the 20th anniversary of the commencement of the Action: a) have the offset site assessed by a suitably qualified ecologist to determine if the completion criteria specified in the approved OMP have been achieved, and b) submit to the department a report prepared by a suitably qualified ecologist detailing the areas and condition of Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll habitat present in the month prior to the 20th anniversary of the commencement of the Action in the offset site, and c) notify the department in writing of any completion criterion that has not been achieved and the likely reasons that this/these completion criteria have not been met.	Not applicable	Not applicable to this reporting period, as it is a post-completion requirement.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
25	The approval holder may, at any time, apply to the Minister to revise a plan by submitting an application in accordance with the requirements of section 143A of the EPBC Act. If the Minister approves a revised action management plan (RAMP) then, from the date specified, the approval holder must implement the RAMP in place of any previous version of the plan.	Compliant	During the previous reporting period, TI submitted a request to DCCEEW to update the permit, approve the revised Construction Water Quality Management Plan, and approve development of a new Action Management Plan titled 'Access Track and Service Locating Methodology'. The permit was varied on 24 July 2025 based on the CEMP version 5 submission. CEMP version 5 varied Appendices A, B, L, R, P and Q, and consequently varied conditions 1A, 1B, 3, 12 and 16 of permit 2022/09295. CEMP version 6 included updates to Table 2.1 of the CEMP and Appendices B, E, and H.
26	The approval holder may choose to revise a plan required to be implemented under conditions 4, 5, 6, 7, 13 or 17 without submitting it for approval under section 143A of the EPBC Act, if: a) the approval holder implements the mechanism to revise a plan, without submitting the RAMP for Minister approval, as specified in Attachment C, and b) the taking of the Action in accordance with the RAMP would not be likely to have a new or increased impact.	Compliant	Versions 5 and 6 of the CEMP were updated on 04 June 2025 and 1 December 2025, respectively, and submitted to DCCEEW via the streamlined RAMP mechanism. DCCEEW concluded that CEMP versions 5 and 6 met the requirements of condition 26 and the streamlined RAMP Mechanism.
27	Whenever these conditions require the approval holder to submit any plan to the department, all such plans must be submitted to the department electronically.	Compliant	All plans associated with this approval have been submitted to DCCEEW electronically and are available on the TI website (excluding Appendix B of the CEMP Appendix which was redacted in accordance with condition 30). A schedule of plans (including website links) is provided in Appendix 1.
28	Unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the Minister, the approval holder must publish each plan on the website within 15 business days of the date: a) of this approval, if the version of the plan to be implemented is specified in these conditions, b) the plan is approved by the Minister in writing, if the plan requires the approval of the Minister, or c) the plan is submitted to the department in accordance with a requirement of these conditions, if the plan does not require the approval of the Minister.	Non-compliant	During a review of the TI website undertaken as part of compliance verification against Condition 28, it was found that two current documents weren't available on the TI website. The following approved documents had not been uploaded to the TI website: 1. Offset Management Plan Version 1.8 Accepted on 9 January 2026 2. Green and Gold Frog Habitat Management and Impact Mitigation Protocol dated 11 January 2024. Previous versions of the documents were published to the TI website, and both current versions were being implemented on the NMIS Project. See Table 2 for further information.
29	The approval holder must keep all plans required by these conditions published on the website, in a format that is easily accessible and downloadable, from the first date which that plan must be published and until the expiry date of this approval. This requirement applies to all current and superseded versions of plans.	Compliant	A schedule of the plans (including website links) approved under the Permit is provided in Appendix 1.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
30	The approval holder is required to exclude or redact sensitive ecological data from any version of a plan before that plan is published on the website or otherwise provided to a member of the public. If sensitive ecological data is excluded or redacted from a plan, the approval holder must notify the department in writing what exclusions and redactions have been made in the version published on the website.	Compliant	Appendix B of the CEMP, which was not published on the TI website due to sensitive ecological data contained in the construction environmental plans and tables.
31	The approval holder must notify the department electronically of the date of commencement of the Action, within 5 business days following commencement of the Action.	Compliant	The Action commenced on 26 August 2024 and DCCEEW was notified on 28 August 2024.
32	The approval holder must not commence the Action later than 5 years after the date of this approval decision.	Compliant	The Action commenced 26 August 2024.
33	The approval holder must notify the department electronically of the date of the first instance of operation, within 5 business days following the first instance of operation.	Not applicable	Operations are yet to commence.
34	The approval holder must maintain accurate and complete compliance records and document the procedure for recording and storing compliance records.	Compliant	Compliance records have been kept in accordance with Condition 34. Compliance records include environmental approvals, survey records, clearing data, monitoring records, incident records and correspondence with regulators.
35	If the department makes a request in writing, the approval holder must provide electronic copies of compliance records to the department within the timeframe specified in the request. Note: Compliance records may be subject to audit by the department, or by an independent auditor in accordance with section 458 of the EPBC Act, and/or be used to verify compliance with the conditions. Summaries of the results of an audit may be published on the department's website or through the general media.	Compliant	No requests for compliance records were received from DCCEEW during the reporting period.
36	The approval holder must ensure that any monitoring data (including sensitive ecological data), surveys, maps, and other spatial and metadata required under the conditions of this approval are prepared in accordance with the <i>Guidelines for biological survey and mapped data, Commonwealth of Australia 2018</i> , or as otherwise specified by the Minister in writing.	Compliant	Surveys and mapping of protected matters and their habitats in the project area are undertaken in accordance with DCCEEW guidelines. Spatial information is available in Appendix B of the CEMP, GIS project planning maps and ecologist survey reports. Survey data was also provided to DCCEEW as part of the referral and impact assessment process. Additional data will be provided to the Department to meet condition 38 if required.
37	The approval holder must ensure that any monitoring data (including sensitive ecological data), surveys, maps, and other spatial and metadata required under the conditions of this approval are prepared in accordance with the Guide to providing maps and boundary data for EPBC Act projects, Commonwealth of Australia 2021, or as otherwise specified by the Minister in writing.	Compliant	Surveys and mapping of protected matters and their habitats in the project area are undertaken in accordance with DCCEEW guidelines. Spatial information is available in Appendix B of the CEMP, GIS project planning maps and ecologist survey reports. Additional data will be provided to the Department to meet condition 38 if required.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
38	Each year, the approval holder must submit all monitoring data (including sensitive ecological data), surveys, maps, other spatial and metadata and all species occurrence record data (sightings and evidence of presence) electronically to the department within 20 business days of 30 September of that year.	Compliant	Monitoring data, survey records, spatial data and species occurrence records required under Condition 38 were submitted to DCCEEW within the required timeframe following 30 September 2025.
39	The approval holder must prepare a compliance report for each Annual Compliance Report period (ACR period).	Compliant	This report has been developed in accordance with condition 39.
40	The approval holder must ensure each compliance report includes: a) accurate and complete details of compliance and any non-compliance with: i) each condition attached to this approval decision, and ii) all commitments made in each plan, b) a schedule of all plans in effect in relation to these conditions during the ACR period, c) accurate and complete details of how each plan was implemented during the ACR period, and d) if any incident occurred, accurate and complete details of each incident.	Compliant	This report has been developed in accordance with condition 40.
41	The approval holder must ensure each compliance report is completed to the satisfaction of the Minister and is consistent with the Annual Report Guidelines, Commonwealth of Australia 2023.	Compliant	This report has been developed in accordance with the ACR guidelines.
42	The approval holder must, within 20 business days following the end of each ACR period, in a format that is easily accessible and downloadable, publish on the website: a) Each compliance report, and b) A shapefile showing all clearing of protected matters, and their habitat, undertaken within the ACR period.	Compliant	The 2026 ACR and clearing shapefiles were uploaded to the TI website by the due date (6 August 2026). https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/schemes/northern-midlands
43	The approval holder must: a) Exclude or redact sensitive ecological data from each compliance report and shapefile published on the website or otherwise provided to a member of the public. b) If sensitive ecological data is excluded or redacted from a version of a compliance report published or otherwise provided to a member of the public, submit the full compliance report to the department within 5 business days of its publication on the website and notify the department in writing what exclusions and redactions have been made in the version published on the website or otherwise provided to a member of the public. c) If sensitive ecological data is excluded or redacted from a version of a shapefile published or otherwise provided to a member of the public, submit the full shapefile to the department within 5 business days of its publication on the website and notify the	Not applicable	No sensitive ecological data is contained in the ACR or clearing shapefiles that requires application of this condition.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
	department in writing what exclusions and redactions have been made in the version published on the website or otherwise provided to a member of the public.		
44	The approval holder must notify the department electronically, within 5 business days of each date of publication that the compliance report has been published on the website. In this notification, the approval holder must provide the department with the web address for where the compliance report and related shapefile are published on the website.	Compliant	DCCEEW will be notified of the publishing of this report by 12 August 2026. The ACR will be available on the TI website and evidence of compliance with this condition will be addressed in subsequent compliance reports.
45	The approval holder must keep each compliance report and related shapefile published on the website from the first date which that compliance report must be published and until the expiry date of this approval. Note: Compliance reports may be published on the department's website.	Compliant	The ACR will be available on the TI website and evidence of compliance with this condition will be addressed in subsequent compliance reports.
46	The approval holder must notify the department electronically, within 2 business days of becoming aware of any event. The approval holder must specify in each notification: a) Any condition or commitment made in a plan which has not been, or may have not been, complied with, b) A short description of the event, and c) The location (if applicable, including co-ordinates), date and time of the event	Compliant	DCCEEW was notified of the administrative non-compliance identified under Condition 28 during the reporting period in accordance with Condition 46. Further detail on non-compliances that occurred during the reporting period is provided in Table 2.
47	The approval holder must provide to the department in writing, within 20 business days of becoming aware of an event, the details of that event. The approval holder must specify: a) All corrective measures and investigations which the approval holder has already taken in respect of the event, b) The potential impacts of the event, c) The method and timing of any corrective measures that the approval holder proposes to undertake to address the event, and d) Any variation of these conditions or revision of a plan that will be required to prevent recurrence of the event and/or to address its consequences.	Compliant	DCCEEW was provided with the investigation findings associated with the administrative non-compliance identified under Condition 28 in accordance with Condition 47. Further detail on non-compliance that occurred during the reporting period is provided in Table 2.
48	The approval holder must ensure that an independent audit of compliance with the conditions is conducted for every audit period.	Not applicable	The Action commenced 26 August 2024. An independent audit is not due until August 2029 or unless otherwise specified by the Minister.
49	The approval holder must submit details of the proposed independent auditor and their qualifications to the department within 10 business days following the end of each audit period.	Not applicable	An independent audit is not due until August 2029 or unless otherwise specified by the Minister.
50	The approval holder must ensure the scope each independent audit is sufficient to determine the compliance status for each condition of approval, and each commitment made in each plan.	Not applicable	An independent audit is not due until August 2029 or unless otherwise specified by the Minister.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments/ Evidence
51	The approval holder must ensure the criteria for each independent audit and the undertaking of each independent audit are consistent with the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Independent Audit and Audit Report Guidelines, Commonwealth of Australia 2019.	Not applicable	An independent audit is not due until August 2029 or unless otherwise specified by the Minister.
52	The approval holder must submit an audit report to the department for written agreement from the department within 3 months following the end of each audit period, or as otherwise directed by the Minister in writing.	Not applicable	An independent audit is not due until August 2029 or unless otherwise specified by the Minister.
53	The approval holder must ensure each audit report is completed to the satisfaction of the Minister and is consistent with the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Independent Audit and Audit Report Guidelines, Commonwealth of Australia 2019.	Not applicable	An independent audit is not due until August 2029 or unless otherwise specified by the Minister.
54	The approval holder must publish each audit report on the website, in a format that is easily accessible and downloadable, within 10 business days of the date of the department agrees to the audit report in writing.	Not applicable	An independent audit is not due until August 2029 or unless otherwise specified by the Minister.
55	The approval holder must notify the department within 5 business days of the date the audit report is published on the website. In this notification, the approval holder must provide the department with the web address for where the audit report is published on the website.	Not applicable	An independent audit is not due until August 2029 or unless otherwise specified by the Minister.
56	The approval holder must keep each audit report published on the website from the first date which that audit report must be published and until the expiry date of this approval.	Not applicable	An independent audit is not due until August 2029 or unless otherwise specified by the Minister.
57	Within 20 business days after the completion of the Action, and, in any event, at least 20 business days before this approval expires, the approval holder must notify the department electronically of the date of completion of the Action and provide completion data. The approval holder must submit any spatial data that comprises completion data as a shapefile.	Not applicable	The Action is still in progress.
58	The approval holder must notify the department electronically 60 business days prior to the expiry date of this approval, that the approval is due to expire.	Not applicable	The approval is in effect until 2126.

Non-compliances

One administrative non-compliance occurred during the reporting period relating to publication of approved plans on the TI website under Condition 28. No other non-compliances or potential non-compliances were identified during the ACR period. However, a review of the plans published on the TI website against condition 28 identified that the Appendix G - GGF protocol was uploaded incorrectly in 2024. This should have been identified and addressed in the previous ACR period. The error was discovered on 17 July 2026 during preparation of this ACR.

There was one non-compliance that occurred during the last ACR period; however, TI was not notified until this ACR reporting period. These incidents did not occur during the current reporting period but are reported in Table 3 to address these previous incidents.

Table 2. Non-compliances reported during the ACR period.

Event Summary	Details	Impact on Protected Matters	Actions / Mitigation Measures
Failure to publish current approved plans on the TI website within the required timeframe.	During preparation of this ACR, the TI website was reviewed for compliance with Condition 28. The review identified that OMP Version 1.7 remained published on the TI website, while OMP Version 1.8, approved on 9 January 2026, had not been uploaded.	No impact. Administrative non-compliance with condition 28.	TI immediately investigated, and sensitive ecological data is being redacted in preparation for uploading the OMP V1.8 to the TI website. DCCEEW were subsequently notified of the non-compliance in accordance with condition 46 of the permit.

Table 3 Non-compliances not reported during previous ACR period

Event Summary	Details	Impact on Protected Matters	Actions / Mitigation Measures	
Contractor cleared 2300 m² of vegetation outside of the construction corridor. The	On 12 May 2025, the contractor identified discrepancies between the information in the construction drawings and the construction environmental management plan (CEMP) linked to the permit. TI requested that the contractor conduct a full investigation into the discrepancy and any impacts.	No impact to MNES.		
			Action	Completed
			TI instructed the contractor to use the CEP construction corridor moving forward.	12 May 2025

Event Summary	Details	Impact on Protected Matters	Actions / Mitigation Measures
vegetation included sub-optimal Tasmanian Devil denning habitat.	On 20 June 2025, the contractor identified that construction had occurred partially outside the construction corridor on the N1a line. An investigation determined that, due to discrepancies in the data, 2300 m² of vegetation was cleared outside the approved construction corridor. The clearing was conducted on 9 April 2025. TI became aware of the incident on 11 July 2025. Notification to DCCEEW of the potential NCR was conducted on 14 July 2025. A full investigation indicated that approximately 0.0065 ha of Dasyurids sub-optimal habitat was unaccounted for. Ecologists had surveyed the area prior to construction commencing within the area and no impacts to Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) were identified. DCCEEW responded on 22 Sept 2025 and issued a Warning Notice, recording the breach and requiring ongoing compliance recordkeeping.		

New Environmental Risks

Environmental risks identified during the reporting period and TI's response to the risks are detailed below.

Completion Disturbance Mapping

TI has progressively captured disturbance data to support future completion reporting. Drone orthomosaics have been used to record the construction disturbance footprint, supplemented by GPS data where permit-specific clearing limits, such as optimal Tasmanian Devil, Eastern Quoll and Spotted-tail Quoll habitat, require separate tracking. In some rehabilitated or cropped areas, disturbance boundaries were less clearly visible in orthomosaic imagery due to reseedling, regrowth and landowner crop establishment. In these limited areas, TI adopted a conservative mapping approach by using the full width of the approved construction corridor where the disturbance footprint could not be accurately delineated.

Additional Protected Matter Observations

Additional protected matter observations were identified during the reporting period during routine environmental surveys and pre-construction inspections. Approximately 30 *Dianella amoena* individuals were identified on the CA line in September 2025, and a further four locations containing approximately 10 m² of *Dianella amoena* were identified on the NB line in March 2026.

The identification of previously unrecorded protected matters during the latter stages of construction reinforced the importance of maintaining environmental survey, GIS management and pre-clearance processes throughout the construction phase, including in areas where works were nearing completion. To manage this risk, all new observations were reported to DCCEEW in accordance with Condition 3 of the Permit, incorporated into the Project GIS planning maps and managed through exclusion zones and construction planning controls. No impacts to the identified *Dianella amoena* locations occurred during the reporting period.

Eagle Constraints During Final Construction and Commissioning

As the Project transitioned from major construction activities towards testing, commissioning and completion activities, management of Tasmanian Wedge-tailed Eagle constraints remained an important environmental consideration. While the scale of construction activity reduced during the reporting period, remaining works required ongoing planning to ensure that construction, testing and commissioning activities were appropriately scheduled and managed in accordance with the eagle protection requirements of the Permit.

To manage this risk, TI maintained eagle nest constraints within the Project GIS planning system, continued use of pre-clearance and approval processes and developed an eagle zone management sheet to assist with planning works during the eagle management constraint period. These controls ensured that remaining construction and commissioning activities could be prioritised and undertaken while maintaining compliance with Conditions 8 to 11 of the Permit.

Completion Data Preparation

As the Project approaches completion, increased emphasis has been placed on preparation of the completion data required under Conditions 1B and 57 of the Permit. This includes development of construction disturbance and clearing datasets, reconciliation of spatial records and preparation of shapefiles showing the final extent of construction, clearing, access tracks and service locating activities.

A challenge identified during the reporting period was the progressive rehabilitation of construction areas, including reseeded, regrowth and re-establishment of agricultural land uses, which reduced the visibility of disturbance boundaries in some locations. To manage this risk, TI progressively captured orthomosaic imagery throughout construction and supplemented this information with GPS survey data and environmental clearing records. Where disturbance boundaries could not be accurately delineated due to rehabilitation or cropping activities, a conservative mapping approach was adopted using the full approved construction corridor width. This approach will support preparation of accurate and defensible completion data and final disturbance reporting at completion of the Action.

Appendix 1 Schedule of Approved Plans

Plan	Approved version	Submitted version awaiting approval (if applicable)	Link on TI website
Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)	Version 2		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/CEMP-NMIS-EPBC-Ref-2022-09295-Version-2.pdf
Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)	Version 3		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/CEMP-NMIS-EPBC-Ref-2022-09295-Version-3.pdf
Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)	Version 5		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=43827
Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)	Version 6		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=45476
Project Area	Version 1		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=43826
Poatina buffer dam	Version 1		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=40750
Forest Clearance Guidelines	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/C_Forest-Clearance-Guidelines-1.pdf
Habitat Tree (Hollow bearing) Management Protocol	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/D_Habitat-tree-hollow-bearing-management-protocol.pdf
Roadkill Mitigation Strategy	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/H_Roadkill-Mitigation-Strategy.pdf
Roadkill Mitigation Strategy	Version 1.2		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=45479
Pre-clearance Check and Unanticipated Den Discovery Protocol	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/E_Pre-clearance-check-and-unanticipated-den-discovery-protocol.pdf
Pre-clearance Check and Unanticipated Den Discovery Protocol	Version 2		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/E_Pre-clearance-check-and-unanticipated-den-discovery-protocol-Version-2.pdf
Pre-clearance Check and Unanticipated Den Discovery Protocol	Version 3		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=45480
Weed and Hygiene Management Plan	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/J_Weed-and-Hygiene-Management-Plan.pdf
NMIS Tasmanian Wedge-tailed Eagle Strategy	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/F_TI_Tasmanian-wedge-tailed-eagle-strategy.pdf
Green and gold frog protocol	Version 1		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=38063

Plan	Approved version	Submitted version awaiting approval (if applicable)	Link on TI website
Updated Green and gold frog protocol	Version 1		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=46463
Drainage Erosion and Sediment Control Plan: Pipeline works and construction Footprint	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/K_Drainage-Erosion-and-Sediment-Control-Plan.pdf
Construction Water Quality Management Plan (CWQMP)	Version 2		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/L_Construction-Water-Quality-Management-Plan-Version-2.pdf
Construction Water Quality Management Plan (CWQMP)	Version 1		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=43829
Rehabilitation and Reinstatement Plan	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/M_Rehabilitation-and-Reinstatement-Plan.pdf
Emergency Response Plan	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/N-Emergency-response-plan.pdf
Aboriginal Artefacts Unanticipated Discovery Plan: Pipeline works and construction footprint	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/O_Aboriginal-Artefacts-Unanticipated-Discovery-Plan.pdf
Construction Program	Version 1		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=38154
Dairy Creek Crossing Methodology	Version 7		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=42015
NMIS Offset Strategy	Version 1		https://www.tasmanianirrigation.com.au/source-assets/images/NMIS-Offset-Strategy-Ref-2022-09295-2-May-2024.pdf
NMIS Offset Management Plan	Version 1.7		https://tasmanianirrigation.com.au/index.php?p=actions/asset-count/count&id=45469
NMIS Offset Management Plan	Version 1.8		Redacting sensitive ecological data to upload to the TI website

Appendix 2 – Protected Matters Clearing



M 3 3 7 9 N M I S A n n u a l C o m p l i a n c e O v e r v i e w

Legend

-  Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
-  Construction Corridor (Impact Area)

Scale: 1:110,000 A4 Portrait

2.5 1.25 0 2.5


Kilometers



ID: M3379
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation


Credits: Earthstar Geographics; TASMAT © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.





Annual Compliance Report Maps - 1


- Legend
- Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
 - Optimal Denning Habitat
 - Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
 - Index
 - Cleared Optimal Habitat



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 1
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation

Tasmanian Irrigation


Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAR © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



Annual Compliance Report Maps - 2

Legend

	Construction Project Area (Survey Area)		Optimal Denning Habitat
	Construction Corridor (Impact Area)		Index
	Cleared Optimal Habitat		



Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAR © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 2
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation






Annual Compliance Report Maps - 3

Legend

-  Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
-  Optimal Denning Habitat
-  Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
-  Index
-  Cleared Optimal Habitat

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape



Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAR © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 3
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation






Annual Compliance Report Maps - 4

Legend

- Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
- Optimal Denning Habitat
- Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
- Cleared Optimal Habitat
- Index

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape




Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAR © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 4
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation

Tasmanian
Irrigation




Annual Compliance Report Maps - 5

Legend

- Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
- Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
- Optimal Denning Habitat
- Cleared Optimal Habitat
- Index

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape




Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAP © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
 DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 5
 Date: 3/08/2026
 Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
 Author: R. Webb
 Tasmanian Irrigation

Tasmanian
 Irrigation




Annual Compliance Report Maps - 6

Legend

- Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
- Optimal Denning Habitat
- Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
- Index
- Cleared Optimal Habitat

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape




Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAP © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 6
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation






Annual Compliance Report Maps - 7

Legend

- Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
- Optimal Denning Habitat
- Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
- Index
- Cleared Optimal Habitat

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape



Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAP © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 7
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation

Tasmanian
Irrigation




Annual Compliance Report Maps - 8

Legend

-  Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
-  Optimal Denning Habitat
-  Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
-  Index
-  Cleared Optimal Habitat

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape




Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAP © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 8
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation

Tasmanian
Irrigation



Annual Compliance Report Maps - 9


- Legend
-  Construction Project Area (Survey Area)

 Optimal Denning Habitat

 Construction Corridor (Impact Area)

 Index

 Cleared Optimal Habitat




Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAP © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 9
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation






Annual Compliance Report Maps - 10

Legend

- Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
- Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
- Optimal Denning Habitat
- Cleared Optimal Habitat
- Index

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape



Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAR © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 10
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation

Tasmanian
Irrigation




Annual Compliance Report Maps - 11

Legend

-  Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
-  Dianella amoena
-  Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
-  Index

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape




Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAR © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 11
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation






Annual Compliance Report Maps - 12

Legend

- Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
- Optimal Denning Habitat
- Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
- Cleared Optimal Habitat
- Index

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape




Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAR © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 12
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation






Annual Compliance Report Maps - 13

Legend

- Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
- Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
- Cleared Optimal Habitat
- Cleared Optimal Habitat (Oct 2024 Non-conformance)
- Optimal Denning Habitat
- Index

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape



Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAR © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 13
Date: 3/08/2026
Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
Author: R. Webb
Tasmanian Irrigation

Tasmanian
Irrigation




Annual Compliance Report Maps - 14

Legend

- Construction Project Area (Survey Area)
- Construction Corridor (Impact Area)
- Cleared Optimal Habitat
- Optimal Denning Habitat
- Index

Scale: 1:5,000 A4 Landscape



Credits: Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community; TASMAR © State of Tasmania; the LIST © State of Tasmania
 DISCLAIMER: This map is for informational purposes only. It should not be relied upon to establish with certainty the location of any of the features represented on it, either absolutely or in relation to other features. This map is not suitable for site specific decision making.



ID: M3397 NMIS Annual Compliance Report Page: 14
 Date: 3/08/2026
 Spatial Reference: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55
 Author: R. Webb
 Tasmanian Irrigation

